UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,182	02/26/2004	Gary Piaget	2072/US/2	5273	
80705 Nautilus, Inc.				EXAMINER	
c/o Dorsey & Whitney LLP 370 17th Street			CROW, ST	CROW, STEPHEN R	
Suite 4700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Denver, CO 80202			3764		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/789,182	PIAGET ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steve R. Crow	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ag This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Ex 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 9-12,176-179 and 252-266 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 176-179 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-12 and 252-266 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the correction of the correction of the original than the origina	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9-5-07;3-16-07;9-18-06;6-12-06;1-6-05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			



Application No.

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of the election requirement in the reply filed on 4-14-08 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that additional embodiments and claims are not burdensome; and the grouping of figures 61a,61b,92-94 should be a single species.

Firstly, as applicant can well appreciate, there are a tremendous number of embodiments, claims and IDSs which have been submitted in this application.

Therefore, the examiner strongly disagrees with the applicant's attorney that there has not been a burden upon the examiner. The burden has been excessive.

Applicant has remarked that the grouping of figures 61a,61b,92-94 should be a single species. Accordingly, these figures and the corresponding claims are to be treated as a single species, and that differences there between are considered as obvious variants.

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The numerous information disclosure statements fail to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because :

Applicant submitted numerous Information Disclosure Statements that comprise over 700 references. It is not clear why all of these references require citation with respect to the disclosed and claimed subject matter. Moreover, the sheer number of references burdens the record as to which references are or may be material to which claims.

Art Unit: 3764

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.105 and MPEP 704.11(a) subsection (P), applicant is required (1) to provide an explanation as to why each reference has been cited, (2) what specific feature in each reference is pertinent to respective limitations in the claims, and (3) how each reference cited defines over the claim(s) wherein each of (1), (2), and (3) should be meaningfully different. A simple statement that each of the references are relevant will not be sufficient. If applicant deems certain of the references cited to not be relevant, applicant should submit a new IDS containing only references that are pertinent to the examination of this application. In so doing, applicant is still required to provide each of (1), (2), and (3) above. This requirement is reasonably necessary for examination in light of the extraordinary number of cited references by applicant, as noted above. Because the applicant has presumably inspected all of the listed citations, it is reasonable to require the applicant to provide the information needed so that the most relevant citations are fully considered.

It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any resubmission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 3764

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 2. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,b) as being clearly anticipated by Reinert.
- 3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,b) as being clearly anticipated by Kuo.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,b) as being clearly anticipated by Piaget (146).
- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,b) as being clearly anticipated by Piaget (539).
- 6. Claim 9,10,252,255-259,262,263 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Chang et al.

Chang et al discloses a stepper wherein there is a subassembly which includes elements 141-142 which pivotally attach the treadles to the frame and produce a triangular arrangement.

7. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,b) as being anticipated by Cossey, Jr. et al.

Cossey et al discloses all of applicant's claimed invention. Since the body of the claims fail to breathe meaning into the preambular language "An exercise machine", this preambular limitation isn't given any patentable weight.

Art Unit: 3764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 253-254,260-261,264-266 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al.

As stated on record by the applicant in the response filed 4-14-08, the grouping of figures 61a,61b,92-94 should be a single species. Accordingly, claims 253-254,260-261,264-266 are be treated as a single species, and that differences there between are considered as obvious variants.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 176-179 are allowed.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R. Crow whose telephone number is 571-272-4973. The examiner can normally be reached on Max Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LoAn Thanh can be reached on 571-272-4966. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sc/Steve R Crow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3764